

Tracy, Mary

From: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK
Sent: Monday, August 22, 2016 8:33 AM
To: Tracy, Mary
Subject: FW: Comments in support of proposed changes CR28(d) and (e)

Forwarding.

-----Original Message-----

From: Linda Rough [mailto:office@roughandassociates.com]
Sent: Saturday, August 20, 2016 10:13 PM
To: OFFICE RECEPTIONIST, CLERK <SUPREME@COURTS.WA.GOV>
Subject: Comments in support of proposed changes CR28(d) and (e)

Dear Members of the Supreme Court,

Honored Members, at this time adopting CR 28(d) and (e), and CR30 I believe is the next necessary step to protect the sanctity of the court record. As a certified reporter and court-reporting firm owner for many years, my opinions are steeped in the history of maintaining inviolate rigors to protect the neutrality of Court proceedings. In our training and experience, we would frankly be appalled at finding an officer of the court contracting with a litigant, but any such arrangement will be curtailed with 28(d).

My comment on CR28(e) is that this ensures the integrity of the final transcript. Having run a court-reporting firm for so long, I cannot think of any reason for a firm to manipulate a transcript uncertified by the reporter, whose product it is. Today's technology allows for a business to add a logo or headers without tampering with the format or the content after final certification. CR28(e) closes the gap of a transcript open to mischief.

Thank you for your consideration of my thoughts on these important issues.

Sincerely,

-Linda Rough
ROUGH & ASSOCIATES INC
3515 SW Alaska St, 2nd floor
Seattle, WA 98126

>